UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	٧.	ORDER OF DETERMINING TRIAL
	Brandon Ray Talamantez	Case Number: 19-08388MJ
	cordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S. clude that the following facts are established	C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. ed: (Check one or both, as applicable.)
\boxtimes	by clear and convincing evidence the defe	endant is a danger to the community and require
	the detention of the defendant pending tr	ial in this case.
\boxtimes	by a preponderance of the evidence the d	efendant is a flight risk and require the detention
	of the defendant pending trial in this case) .
	 (1) There is probable cause to be an offense for which a maximum prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq. □ an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924 □ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332 maximum term of imprisonment of ter □ an offense involving a minor victim (2) The defendant has not rebuthat no condition or combinatio 	b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a years or more is prescribed.
	Alternative	e Findings
	(1) There is a serious risk the combination of conditions will reason as required.	nat the defendant will flee; no condition or onably assure the appearance of the defendant
\boxtimes	(2) No condition or combination of others and the community.	of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
	(3) There is a serious risk that the justice; or threaten, injure, or intimi	ne defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct date a prospective witness or juror.

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

		(1) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger.
\boxtimes		(2) I find by a proposed groups of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		(2) I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	\boxtimes	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	\boxtimes	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of .
	The c	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, ot:
⊠	Defer least meth signif risk a	dition: Indant has a prior Aggravated Assault conviction, a prior probation violation, and at one other prior felony conviction. He tested positive for marijuana and amphetamine. He is unemployed. The nature and seriousness of the offenses is icant. Defendant fled from law enforcement prior to his arrest. Defendant is a flight and danger for which no alternative conditions will reasonably secure his future arance or protect the community.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person

in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 3rd day of September, 2019.

Honorable John Z. Boyle United States Magistrate Judge